

1	ZACH COWAN, City Attorney MARK J. ZEMBSCH, Deputy City Attorney	SBN 96372 SBN 127901			
2	MZembsch@ci.berkeley.ca.us 2180 Milvia Street, Fourth Floor				
3	Berkeley, CA 94704 TEL.: (510) 981-6998				
4	FAX.: (510) 981-6960				
5	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF BERKELEY and DAVID HODGKINS				
6	EDWARD W. BURNS SBN 201913				
7	MELISSA L. RODRIGUEZ	SBN 201733			
8	BURNS, SCHALDENBRAND & RODRIGUEZ EWBurns@bsrlawyers.com				
9	1155 Sportfisher Dr., Suite 120 Oceanside, CA 92045 TEL: (760) 453-2189				
10	FAX: (760) 453-2189				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff TIMOTHY GARDNER				
12	TIMOTHT GARDNER				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
16	TIMOTHY GARDNER,	NO. C10-03410 EMC			
17	Plaintiff,				
	riailiuli.	I STIPULATION AND IPROPOSEDI			
18	r iamum,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING			
18 19	vs.				
	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS;	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE			
19	vs.	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE			
19 20	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER,	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE			
19 20 21	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER;	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010			
19 20 21 22	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER, Defendants.	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010			
19 20 21 22 23	VS. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER, Defendants. STIPUI	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010 Removed to Federal Court: Aug. 3, 2010			
19 20 21 22 23 24	VS. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER, Defendants. STIPUI	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010 Removed to Federal Court: Aug. 3, 2010 ATION			
19202122232425	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER, Defendants. STIPUI The parties hereby stipulate through their	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010 Removed to Federal Court: Aug. 3, 2010 ATION			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	vs. CITY OF BERKELEY, DAVID HODGKINS; DOUG HAMBLETON; ROY MEISNER; BOBBY MILLER, Defendants. STIPUI The parties hereby stipulate through their	ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND BRIEFING; AND CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Complaint Filed: June 25, 2010 Removed to Federal Court: Aug. 3, 2010 ATION			

1	The City will depose Plaintiff on October 14, 2011. Plaintiff may depose the following		
2	five witnesses, beginning October 17, 2011: David Hodgkins, Doug Hambleton, Dave Frankel,		
3	Eric Gustafson and Jen Louis.		
4	The parties also hereby stipulate through their undersigned counsel that the expert		
5	discovery cut-off be extended as follows:		
6	November 7, 2011: Expert's opening reports		
7	November 21, 2011: Rebuttal reports		
8	December 5, 2011: Expert discovery cut-off.		
9	The parties also stipulate that the hearing for the Motion for Summary Judgment and the		
10	Case Management Conference be continued from December 2, 2011 to December 16, 2011.		
11	Parties propose the following briefing schedule: at 1:30 p.m.		
12	November 16, 2011: Defendant's Summary Judgment Motion Filed		
13	November 30, 2011: Plaintiff's Opposition to Summary Judgment Motion Filed		
14	December 7, 2011: Defendant's Reply to Summary Judgment Motion Filed		
15	GOOD CAUSE EXISTS for the stipulation to extend time as follows: Plaintiff's counsel		
16	was substituted in less than one week prior to the original discovery cut-off. Plaintiff's previous		
17	counsel had failed to comply with Defendant's discovery requests, requests that were necessary for		
18	an efficient deposition of plaintiff. Further, Plaintiff's previous counsel had failed to properly notice		
19	any depositions prior to the original discovery cut-off. Allowing for limited depositions to be taken		
20	after the discovery cut-off; a short extension of expert discovery; and a two-week continuance of th		
21	hearing on the motion for summary judgment (with a modified briefing schedule) promotes the		
22	interests of justice and will result in no prejudice to any party.		
23	Respectfully submitted:		
24	ZACH COWAN, City Attorney		
25	MARK J. ZEMBSCH, Deputy City Attorney		
26	Dated: October 7, 2011 By: /s/		
27	Dated: October 7, 2011 By: /s/ MARK J. ZEMBSCH, Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for City of Berkeley and David Hodgkins		
28	Audineys for City of Derkeley and David Hodgkins		

1			Respectfully submitted:
2			BURNS, SCHALDENBRAND & RODRIGUEZ
4	Dated:	October 7, 2011	By: /s/
5	Duicu.		EDWARD W. BURNS
6			Attorney for Plaintiff Timothy Gardner
7		REGOING STIPULATION OVED AND IS SO ORDERED.	(as amended) TES DISTRICT
8			
9	DATE: _	10/12/11	United S IT IS SO ORDERED ODIFIED
10 11			United S IT IS SO ORDER S
12			Judge Edward M. Chen
13			Judge Edward
14			DISTRICT OF CENT
15			DISTRICT
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			